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Chairman Khanna (D-CA), Ranking Member Norman (R-SC), and distinguished members of the Committee, thank you for the opportunity to appear today to discuss opportunities to prevent future wildfire disasters in our communities. My name is Dr. Michael J. Gollner, and I am an Associate Professor of Mechanical Engineering at the University of California, Berkeley and run the Berkeley Fire Research Laboratory. I have over a decade of experience carefully studying the physics of spreading wildfires, with a particular interest in those fires that move beyond our forests and rangelands into the Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI), where fires spread from vegetation into our communities. During my testimony, I will discuss the causes of our current crises as well as solutions we have available to safeguard our communities and preserve our natural lands. The opinions expressed in my testimony today are that of my own and do not represent the views of the University of California.

Over the past few decades, we have seen a dramatic increase in the frequency and severity of destructive wildfires. The effect large wildfires have on people: lives lost, communities destroyed, critical natural resources wiped out is a large part of what transforms a natural process into a human disaster. Increasingly, large populations are affected by wildfires, even indirectly by health effects from smoke exposure, large-scale preventative power shutoffs, and post-fire landslides. However, wildfires are a natural process that have occurred across our landscapes for millennia. Indigenous peoples utilized fire as an important cultural practice and resource management tool. Starting in the early 1900s a series of large wildfires pressed the federal government to eradicate fires from our forests. By suppressing every small fire, we left a massive buildup of fuels that is less resilient to change and has therefore led to more severe wildfires in the long term. Climate change has further exacerbated this crisis, leading to prolonged droughts and severe fire weather conditions.
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and is projected only to get worse\textsuperscript{7}. Increasing development out of cities into the WUI has also meant areas accustomed to fire now supports tens of thousands of residents who are threatened during these events.

While wildfires will always occur, wildfire disasters are preventable when the right strategies are applied before a fire begins. Focusing on better management of our landscape, including adding prescribed fire, reducing hazardous fuels near communities, and allowing some fires to burn under mild conditions, will lower the intensity of fires our communities are exposed to. There are many challenges here, as there is always some risk from a fire, even under controlled conditions. There is also often public backlash from reducing fuels on landscapes, significant regulatory hurdles, local smoke exposure, and the above-mentioned risk from any fire. But without this, we will be forced to contend only with the most extreme fire events.

While fuels management is a critical practice necessary to preserve our forests, this alone will do little to prevent disasters within our communities\textsuperscript{8}. We must work to make it harder for these fires to spread into and within urban areas. The recent Marshall fire outside of Boulder, Colorado burned through grass in the middle of winter but still destroyed over 1000 homes\textsuperscript{9}, highlighting this is not solely a forest management problem. Mitigation must focus on both structures and critical infrastructure such as hospitals, power, water, evacuation routes, and communications. “Hardening” communities from fire is possible with moderate changes. Modifications can be made to homes to prevent ignition from embers, such as screens on vents, non-combustible building materials, and constant maintenance removing flammable litter\textsuperscript{10}. Defensible space is also used to keep fires from getting close enough to ignite structures and give firefighters a safe place to protect those structures as the fire approaches.

Our understanding of wildfires and how they ignite and spread within our communities is improving, but there are still many unanswered questions\textsuperscript{11}. Small flying embers have been recognized by NIST and US Forest Service investigations as the key mechanisms of spread from wildfires into communities, but much of this understanding is still in its infancy\textsuperscript{12}. From sprinklers to home spacing, we know there could be improvements here but struggle to quantify the best designs possible\textsuperscript{13}. Most deaths occur while people are evacuating fires, however little attention has been paid to evacuation and notification. Despite the incredible importance and potential lifesaving outcomes of this research, the United States lacks the necessary dedicated infrastructure to test buildings against wildfire exposure. Dedicated research facilities, multidisciplinary centers of excellence, sustained support, and interagency coordination are desperately needed. If we could
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develop minimally invasive ways to retrofit existing structures and communities, and incentivize these changes to happen, we could potentially make a widespread change saving lives while minimizing costs.

Implementing these recommendations on a broad scale is a challenge that takes extensive cooperation between residents, first responders, private industry, and public policy makers\textsuperscript{14}. We are making progress through community-based programs such as Firewise and Fire Adapted Communities the implementation of Community Wildfire Protection Plans (CWPPs), as well as the US Forest Service’s Wildfire Risk to Communities map, which provides valuable insight on community-based fire risk. Federal grants and support could play a large role in increasing the capacity of these local programs to implement changes that ultimately will prevent disaster.
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