

# House Oversight and Government Reform Committee

## FITARA Implementation Scorecard

May 2016  
Grades:

Agency	Risk				Grade <sup>3</sup>
	Incremental Development <sup>1</sup>	Assessment Transparency	IT Portfolio Review Savings	Data Center Consolidation <sup>2</sup>	
Agriculture	C	B	F	D	C
Commerce	C	A	D	A	B
Defense	F	C	F	C	D
Education	F	D	F	B	D
Energy	A	D	F	C	C-
HHS	C	D	F	F	D
DHS	F	B	F	A	C
HUD	F	A	F		D
Interior	C	A	C	F	C
Justice	A	D	F	A	C
Labor	C	A	F	F	C-
State	F	C	F	F	D
Transportation	F	C	F	F	D
Treasury	D	D	C	D	D
VA	A	C	F	F	C
EPA	A	A	F	F	C
GSA	A	B	C	F	C
NASA		F	F	F	F
NSF		F	F	B	D
NRC		B	F	B	C
OPM	B	B	F	F	C
SBA	A	F	F		D
SSA	F	C	A	F	C
USAID	F	F	B		D

<sup>1</sup> This area measures agencies ‘major’ investments – defined as those that are spending at least 50% of their planned spending in development (per the Office of Management and Budget, *Fiscal Year 2016 Budget of the U.S. Government*). NASA, NSF, and NRC did not have any projects that met this definition.

<sup>2</sup> Three agencies—HUD, SBA and USAID—did not report any planned data center consolidation cost savings goals; therefore, no grade has been determined for these agencies.

<sup>3</sup> The Committee downgraded The Departments of Energy and Labor’s overall grades from a C to a C- because neither of them have an approved FITARA implementation plan.