



Testimony

Before the Committee on Oversight and
Government Reform, House of
Representatives

For Release on Delivery
Expected at 10:00 a.m. ET
Wednesday, July 26, 2017

DRUG CONTROL POLICY

Information on Status of Federal Efforts and Key Issues for Preventing Illicit Drug Use

Statement of Diana Maurer,
Director, Homeland Security and Justice Issues

GAO Highlights

Highlights of [GAO-17-766T](#), a testimony before the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, House of Representatives

Why GAO Did This Study

According to the National Institute on Drug Abuse, in 2015, the most recent year for which national data are available, over 52,000 Americans died from drug overdoses, or approximately 144 people every day. Policymakers, criminal justice officials, health care providers, and the public at large are turning with renewed attention to the drug epidemic and its impact on our nation. To help reduce illicit drug use and its consequences, ONDCP oversees and coordinates the implementation of national drug control policy across the federal government.

This statement addresses: (1) the federal government's progress in achieving Strategy goals, (2) results from a Comptroller General's Forum on preventing illicit drug use, and (3) the findings of GAO's recent review of ONDCP's DFC Support program.

This statement is based on GAO's prior work issued from May 2016 through February 2017, with selected status updates as of July 2017, and updates from ONDCP's National Drug Control Budget Funding Highlight reports issued from fiscal year 2016 to fiscal year 2018. For the updates, GAO used publically available data sources that ONDCP uses to assess its progress on Strategy goals, and interviewed ONDCP officials.

View [GAO-17-766T](#). For more information, contact Diana Maurer at (202) 512-8777 or maurerd@gao.gov.

July 26, 2017

DRUG CONTROL POLICY

Information on Status of Federal Efforts and Key Issues for Preventing Illicit Drug Use

What GAO Found

The federal government has made mixed progress toward achieving the goals articulated in the 2010 National Drug Control Strategy (Strategy). In the Strategy, the Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) established seven goals related to reducing illicit drug use and its consequences by 2015. In many instances, the data used to assess progress in 2015 have only recently become available. GAO's review of this updated data indicates that, as of July 2017, the federal government made moderate progress toward achieving two goals, limited progress on two goals, and no progress on the other three goals. However, none of the overall goals in the Strategy were fully achieved. For example, progress had not been made on the goal to reduce drug-induced deaths by 15 percent. Drug-induced deaths instead increased from 2009 to 2015 by 41.5 percent. Although progress was made reducing the 30-day prevalence of drug use among 12- to 17-year-olds from the 10.1 percent reported in 2009, the goal of reducing prevalence to 8.6 percent by 2015 was not achieved. According to ONDCP, as of July 2017, work is currently underway to develop a new strategy.

In June 2016, GAO convened a diverse panel of experts, including from ONDCP to advance the national dialogue on preventing illicit drug use. The panel focused on (1) common factors related to illicit drug use; (2) strategies in the education, health care, and law enforcement sectors to prevent illicit drug use; and (3) high priority areas for future action to prevent illicit drug use. According to forum participants, illicit drug use typically occurs for the first time in adolescence, involves marijuana, and increasingly, legal prescriptions for opioid-based pain relievers. Forum participants also discussed strategies available in the education, health care, and law enforcement sectors for preventing illicit drug use. For example, forum participants championed the use of school- or community-based prevention programs that research has shown to be successful in preventing illicit drug use and other behaviors. They also identified several high priority areas for future actions to prevent illicit drug use, including: supporting community coalitions, consolidating federal funding streams for prevention programs, and reducing the number of opioid prescriptions.

In February 2017, GAO issued a report on the Drug-Free Communities Support Program (DFC)—a program that ONDCP and the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) jointly manage. This program aims to support drug abuse prevention efforts that engage schools, law enforcement, and other sectors of a community to target reductions in the use of alcohol, tobacco, marijuana, and the illicit use of prescription drugs. GAO reported that ONDCP and SAMHSA had strengthened their joint management of the program by employing leading collaboration practices; however, the agencies could enhance DFC grantee compliance and performance monitoring. For example, SAMHSA did not consistently confirm grantees had completed plans to achieve long-term goals after exiting the program. GAO recommended that SAMHSA develop an action plan to strengthen DFC grant monitoring and ensure it sends complete and accurate information to ONDCP. SAMHSA concurred with GAO's recommendations and reported in April 2017 that its actions to address them should be completed by this fall.

Chairman Gowdy, Ranking Member Cummings, and Members of the Committee:

I am pleased to be here today to discuss GAO's recent work related to the Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP). Though drug abuse in our nation is not a new phenomenon, the scale and impact of illicit drug use in this country has reached new heights. Policy makers, criminal justice officials, health care providers, and the public at large are turning with renewed attention to the drug epidemic and its impact on our nation. Deaths from drug overdoses have risen steadily over the past two decades and are the leading cause of death due to injuries in the United States. In fact, according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), drug overdose deaths surpass the annual number of traffic crash fatalities, as well as deaths due to firearms, suicide, and homicide. In 2015, the most recent year for which national data are available from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA), over 52,000 Americans died from drug overdoses, or approximately 144 people every day.

ONDCP is responsible for, among other things, overseeing and coordinating the implementation of national drug control policy across the federal government to address illicit drug use.¹ In this role, the Director of ONDCP is required annually to develop a National Drug Control Strategy (the Strategy), which is to set forth a comprehensive plan to reduce illicit drug use through programs intended to prevent or treat drug use or reduce the availability of illegal drugs.² According to ONDCP, work is currently underway to develop a new Strategy. ONDCP is also responsible for developing a National Drug Control Program Budget proposal for implementing the Strategy.³ For fiscal year (FY) 2018, a total of \$27.8 billion was requested to support federal drug control efforts. As I

¹Illicit drug use includes the use of marijuana (including hashish), cocaine (including crack), heroin, hallucinogens, and inhalants, as well as the nonmedical use of prescription drugs, such as pain relievers and sedatives.

²For the purposes of this statement we refer to the National Drug Control Strategy as 'the Strategy' mirroring the reference commonly used by ONDCP.

³21 U.S.C. §§ 1703(b)-(c), 1705(a).

will detail in my statement, this represents an increase of about \$280 million over the annualized Continuing Resolution (CR) level in FY 2017.⁴

Today, I will discuss (1) the federal government's progress achieving the National Drug Control strategy goals, (2) results of a Comptroller General (CG) Forum on preventing illicit drug use, and (3) the findings of our recent review of ONDCP's Drug-Free Communities (DFC) Support program.

This statement is based on our prior work issued from May 2016 through February 2017 with selected updates as of July 2017.⁵ In performing the work for our May 2016 testimony, we analyzed available data on progress toward achieving Strategy goals, as well as documents related to ONDCP's monitoring mechanisms. For this statement we updated the information and data where appropriate from publically available data sources and ONDCP's Fiscal Year 2016 through Fiscal Year 2018 National Drug Control Budget Funding Highlights reports, and interviews with ONDCP officials. For our November 2016 CG Forum, we convened and moderated a panel of education, health care, and law enforcement officials and summarized the viewpoints shared on common factors related to illicit drug use; strategies in the education, health care, and law enforcement sectors to prevent illicit drug use; and high priority areas for future action to prevent illicit drug use. For our February 2017 report on the DFC, we analyzed agency policies, interviewed agency officials, analyzed coordination efforts against relevant key practices GAO identified previously, reviewed files obtained from a non-generalizable random sample of grant recipients and interviewed a random subset of these grantees. More detail on our scope and methodologies can be found in each of these respective products.

⁴A continuing resolution (CR) is an appropriation act that provides budget authority for federal agencies, specific activities, or both to continue in operation when Congress and the President have not completed action on the regular appropriation acts by the beginning of the fiscal year.

⁵See GAO, *Office of National Drug Control Policy: Progress Toward Some National Drug Control Strategy Goals, but None Have Been Fully Achieved*, [GAO-16-660T](#) (Washington, D.C.: May 17, 2016), GAO, *Highlights of a Forum: Preventing Illicit Drug Use*, [GAO-17-146SP](#) (Washington, D.C.: Nov. 14, 2016), and GAO, *Drug-Free Communities Support Program: Agencies Have Strengthened Collaboration but Could Enhance Grantee Compliance and Performance Monitoring*, [GAO-17-120](#) (Washington, D.C.: Feb. 7, 2017).

We conducted the work on which this statement is based in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Background

ONDCP was established by the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988 to, among other things, enhance national drug control planning and coordination and represent the drug policies of the executive branch before Congress.⁶ In this role, the office is responsible for (1) developing a national drug control policy, (2) developing and applying specific goals and performance measurements to evaluate the effectiveness of national drug control policy and National Drug Control Program agencies' programs,⁷ (3) overseeing and coordinating the implementation of the national drug control policy, and (4) assessing and certifying the adequacy of the budget for National Drug Control Programs.⁸

ONDCP is required annually to develop the National Drug Control Strategy, which sets forth a plan to reduce illicit drug use through prevention, treatment, and law enforcement programs, and to develop a National Drug Control Program Budget for implementing the strategy. National Drug Control Program agencies follow a detailed process in developing their annual budget submissions for inclusion in the National Drug Control Program Budget, which provides information on the funding that the executive branch requested for drug control to implement the strategy.⁹ Agencies submit to ONDCP the portion of their annual budget

⁶Pub. L. No. 100-690, 102 Stat. 4181 (1988).

⁷In addition to ONDCP, these agencies are: the Departments of Agriculture, Defense, Education, Health and Human Services, Homeland Security, Housing and Urban Development, the Interior, Justice, Labor, State, Transportation, Treasury, and Veterans Affairs, as well as the Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia; and the Federal Judiciary.

⁸21 U.S.C. § 1702(a).


⁹21 U.S.C. § 1703(c). Under 21 U.S.C. § 1701(7), the term "National Drug Control Program agency" means any agency that is responsible for implementing any aspect of the National Drug Control Strategy, including any agency that receives federal funds to implement any aspect of the National Drug Control Strategy, subject to certain exceptions regarding intelligence agencies.

requests dedicated to drug control, which they prepare as part of their overall budget submission to the Office of Management and Budget for inclusion in the President's annual budget request. ONDCP reviews the budget requests of the drug control agencies to determine if the agencies have acceptable methodologies for estimating their drug control budgets, and includes those that do in the Drug Control Budget.¹⁰ In FY 2016, the budget contained 38 federal agencies or programs.

There are five priorities for which resources are requested across agencies: substance abuse prevention and substance abuse treatment (which are considered demand-reduction areas), and domestic law enforcement, drug interdiction, and international partnerships (which are considered supply-reduction areas) as shown in figure 1. ONDCP manages and oversees two primary program accounts: the High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas (HIDTA) Program and the Other Federal Drug Control Programs, such as the DFC Support Program. ONDCP previously managed the National Youth Anti-Drug Media Campaign which last received appropriations in fiscal year 2011. Also, from fiscal year 1991 to fiscal year 2011, ONDCP managed the Counterdrug Technology Assessment Center (CTAC).

¹⁰ An acceptable methodology relies on availability of empirical data at the agencies for estimating their drug control budgets. These data include determining which portion of an agency's funding is for drug control programs or activities versus non-drug control programs. See GAO, *Office of National Drug Control Policy: Agencies View the Budget Process as Useful for Identifying Priorities, but Challenges Exist*, [GAO-11-261R](#) (Washington, D.C.: May 2, 2011). Agencies may administer programs that include drug abuse prevention and treatment activities but do not meet ONDCP's standards for having an acceptable budget estimation methodology. Such programs are not represented in the Drug Control Budget.

Figure 1: Federal Drug Control Program Priority Areas




Source: GAO. | GAO-17-766T

According to ONDCP, federal drug control spending increased from \$21.7 billion in FY 2007 to the approximately \$27.5 billion that was allocated for drug control programs in FY 2017 as shown in figure 2.¹¹ Spending on supply reduction programs, such as domestic law enforcement, interdiction, and international programs increased 16 percent from \$13.3 billion in FY 2007 to \$15.4 billion in FY 2017. However, federal spending for demand programs—treatment and prevention—increased at a higher rate from FY 2007 through FY 2017. Spending in these two programs increased 44 percent from \$8.4 billion in FY 2007 to \$12.1 billion in FY 2017. As a result, the proportion of funds spent on demand programs increased from 39 percent of total spending in FY 2007 to 44 percent in FY 2017.

¹¹ The fiscal year 2018 National Drug Control Budget Funding Highlights describes fiscal year 2017 allocations. ONDCP refers to these funds as “annualized Continuing Resolution levels” in the National Drug Control Budget, while we use the term allocated funding. All FY 2017 funding is considered allocated funding for purposes of this statement. Funds allocated at the beginning of a fiscal year may not reflect actual agency spending during the course of the fiscal year. For example, appropriations acts may allow agencies to reallocate unobligated funds from one program to another, or actual spending for mandatory funding programs such as Medicare and Medicaid may differ from projected spending levels.

Figure 2: Federal Drug Control Spending for Fiscal Years 2007 through 2017



Source: Office of National Drug Control Policy's National Drug Control Budget Funding Highlights for Fiscal Years 2016 through 2018. | GAO-17-766T

According to ONDCP's National Drug Control Budget Fiscal Year 2018 Highlights, the proposed budget supports \$1.3 billion in investments authorized by the Comprehensive Addiction and Recovery Act (CARA), the 21st Century Cures Act, and other opioid-specific programs to help address the opioid epidemic, including funding prevention and treatment efforts.¹² Allocated funding for treatment increased in FY 2017 to approximately \$10.6 billion, a 7.5 percent increase over FY 2016. Funding for prevention increased slightly in FY 2017 to about \$1.5 billion, a 1.4 percent increase from FY 2016.

¹²ONDCP, *National Drug Control Budget Fiscal Year 2018 Funding Highlights*, (Washington, D.C.: May 2017)

According to its FY 2018 Budget Highlights document, ONDCP considers three main functions to address the drug supply: Domestic Law Enforcement, Interdiction, and International. For Domestic Law Enforcement, ONDCP noted that federal, state, local, and tribal law enforcement agencies play a key role in the Administration's approach to reduce drug use and its associated consequences. ONDCP also stated that interagency drug task forces, such as the HIDTA program, are critical to leveraging limited resources among agencies. Allocated funding for domestic law enforcement in FY 2017 is approximately \$9.3 billion, which is similar to its FY 2016 spending level.

According to ONDCP, the United States continues to face a serious challenge from the large-scale smuggling of drugs from abroad which are distributed to every region in the nation. Interdiction funds support collaborative activities between federal law enforcement agencies, the military, the intelligence community, and international allies to interdict or disrupt shipments of illegal drugs, their precursors, and their illicit proceeds. Allocated funding in support of Interdiction for FY 2017 is approximately \$4.6 billion, a decrease of 3.5 percent from FY 2016.

International functions place focus on collaborative efforts between the U.S. government and its international partners around the globe. According to ONDCP, illicit drug production and trafficking generate huge profits and are responsible for the establishment of criminal networks that are powerful, corrosive forces that destroy the lives of individuals, tear at the social fabric, and weaken the rule of law in affected countries. In FY 2017, approximately \$1.5 billion was allocated to international functions, which is similar to its FY 2016 spending level.

The Federal Government Has Made Mixed Progress But Has Not Fully Achieved the Overall 2010 Strategy Goals

As we previously have stated, the 2010 National Drug Control Strategy was the inaugural strategy guiding drug policy under the previous Administration. According to ONDCP officials, it sought a comprehensive approach to drug policy, including an emphasis on drug abuse prevention and treatment efforts and the use of evidence-based practices—approaches to prevention or treatments that are based in theory and have undergone scientific evaluation. ONDCP established two overarching policy goals in the 2010 Strategy for (1) curtailing illicit drug consumption and (2) improving public health by reducing the consequences of drug abuse, and seven overall sub goals under them that delineate specific quantitative outcomes to be achieved by 2015, such as reducing drug-induced deaths by 15 percent. To support the achievement of these two policy goals and seven sub goals (collectively referred to as overall

goals), the Strategy included seven strategic objectives and multiple action items under each objective, with lead and participating agencies designated for each action item. Strategy objectives include, for example, “Strengthen Efforts to Prevent Drug Use in Communities” and “Disrupt Domestic Drug Trafficking and Production.” Subsequent annual Strategies provided updates on the implementation of action items, included new action items intended to help address emerging drug-related problems, and highlighted initiatives and efforts that support the Strategy’s objectives.

In March 2013, we reported that ONDCP and the federal agencies lacked progress on achieving the Strategy goals and were in the process of implementing a new mechanism to monitor progress.¹³ As we reported in May 2016, ONDCP and the federal agencies had made moderate progress toward achieving one goal, limited progress on three goals, and no demonstrated progress on the remaining three goals.¹⁴ For example, we reported that the rate of drug use for young adults aged 18 to 25 had increased since 2009, moving in the opposite direction of the goal. However, we also reported that HIV infections attributable to drug use, one of the strategy’s sub-measures, had decreased from 2009 to 2014 and had exceeded the strategy’s established target. In many instances, the data used to assess progress, while the most up to date at the time, were several years old. Based on the most recent data available, although some of the sub-measures, such as decreasing tobacco use by eighth graders, were achieved, none of the seven overall goals in the Strategy have been fully achieved as of July 2017. Table 1 shows the 2010 Strategy goals and progress toward meeting them as of July 2017.

¹³See GAO: *Office of National Drug Control Policy: Office Could Better Identify Opportunities to Increase Program Coordination*; [GAO-13-333](#) (Washington, D.C.: March 26, 2013).

¹⁴[GAO-16-660T](#). Three of the Strategy’s goals have multiple sub-measures. Limited progress indicates that progress has been made toward goals on at least one of these measures but not all.

Table 1: 2010 National Drug Control Strategy Goals and Progress toward Meeting Them, as of July 2017

2010 Strategy goals	2009 (baseline)	2015 (goal)	Progress as of most recently available data ^a
Curtail illicit drug consumption in America			
1. Decrease the 30-day prevalence of drug use among 12- to 17-year-olds by 15 percent ^b	10.1 percent	8.6 percent	8.8 percent (2015)
2. Decrease the lifetime prevalence of eighth graders who have used drugs, alcohol, or tobacco by 15 percent ^c			
Illicit drugs	19.9 percent	16.9 percent	17.2 percent (2016)
Alcohol	36.6 percent	31.1 percent	22.8 percent (2016)
Tobacco	20.1 percent	17.1 percent	9.8 percent (2016)
3. Decrease the 30-day prevalence of drug use among young adults aged 18-25 by 10 percent ^d	21.4 percent	19.3 percent	22.3 percent (2015)
4. Reduce the number of chronic drug users by 15 percent ^e			
Cocaine	2.7 million	2.3 million	2.5 million (2010)
Heroin	1.5 million	1.3 million	1.5 million (2010)
Marijuana	16.2 million	13.8 million	17.6 million (2010)
Methamphetamine	1.8 million	1.5 million	1.6 million (2010)
Improve the public health and public safety of the American people by reducing the consequences of drug abuse			
5. Reduce drug-induced deaths by 15 percent	39,147	33,275	55,403 (2015)
6. Reduce drug-related morbidity by 15 percent			
Emergency room visits for drug misuse and abuse ^f	2,070,452	1,759,884	2,462,948 (2011)
HIV infections attributable to drug use	5,799	4,929	3,594 (2015)
7. Reduce the prevalence of drugged driving by 10 percent ^g	16.3 percent (2007)	14.7 percent	20.0 percent (2013)

Source: GAO review of ONDCP's 2015 Performance Reporting System report and data from (1) Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's (SAMHSA) National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH); (2) National Institute on Drug Abuse's Monitoring the Future; (3) What America's Users Spend on Illegal Drugs; (4) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) National Vital Statistics System; (5) SAMHSA's Drug Abuse Warning Network drug-related emergency room visits; (6) CDC's HIV Surveillance Report-Diagnoses of HIV Infection in the United States; and (7) National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's National Roadside Survey. | GAO-17-766T

^aYear for which the most recent data were available is in parenthesis.

^bNSDUH is a statistical sample survey and these results from this survey are population estimates. According to the 2015 NSDUH, 7 percent of 12- to 17-year-olds reporting having used marijuana in the past month.

^cAccording to the 2016 Monitoring the Future survey, 12.8 percent of eighth graders reported having used marijuana in their lifetimes and 8.9 percent reported having used any illicit drug other than marijuana.

^dAccording to the 2015 NSDUH, 19.8 percent of 18- to 25-year-olds reported having used marijuana in the past month.

^eThe data source for this measure is a report entitled *What America's Users Spend on Illegal Drugs*, which is sponsored by ONDCP and prepared by RAND Corporation. As of July 2017, the most recent report had been released in February 2014 and provided data from 2000 through 2010.

^fAccording to ONDCP's 2015 Performance Reporting System report, the data source for this measure—the Drug Abuse Warning System—was discontinued by SAMHSA in 2011, and SAMHSA and CDC are currently working to implement a replacement system to provide data on drug-related emergency department visits. SAMHSA's Drug Abuse Warning Network was a statistical sample survey of hospital emergency rooms and the results from this survey were population estimates.

^gThe primary data source for this measure is the National Roadside Survey conducted by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. The baseline survey was conducted in 2007. The NSDUH, which also measures the prevalence of drugged driving, serves as a secondary data source to the National Roadside Survey. ONDCP reported that the drugged driving goal was met when 2013 data from the NSDUH source is used.

Federal drug control agencies made mixed progress but did not fully achieve any of the four overall Strategy goals associated with curtailing illicit drug consumption. For example:

- Progress was made on the goal to decrease the 30-day prevalence of drug use among 12- to 17-year-olds by 15 percent. The data source for this measure— SAMHSA's National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH)¹⁵— indicated that in 2015, 8.8 percent of 12- to 17-year-olds reported having used illicit drugs in the past month.
- Progress was not made on the goal to decrease the 30-day prevalence of drug use among young adults aged 18 to 25 by 10 percent. Specifically, the reported rate of drug use for young adults was 21.4 percent in 2009 and 22.3 percent in 2015, moving in the opposite direction of the goal. Marijuana remained the drug used by the highest percentage of young adults. According to the 2015 NSDUH, 19.8 percent of young adults reported having used marijuana in the past month.¹⁶ The rates of reported marijuana use for this measure increased by 9 percent from 2009 to 2015.

Progress was also mixed on the remaining three overall Strategy goals associated with reducing the consequences of drug use. For example:

- Progress was not made on the goal to reduce drug-induced deaths by 15 percent. According to the CDC's National Vital Statistics System, which collects information on all deaths in the United States, 55,403 deaths were from drug-induced causes in 2015, an increase of 41.5 percent compared to 2009 and 66.5 percent more than the 2015 goal. The CDC's December 30, 2016 Morbidity and Mortality Weekly

¹⁵NSDUH is a statistical sample survey.

¹⁶ Marijuana includes marijuana and hashish.

Report stated that 52,404 of these deaths were from drug overdoses, the majority of which (63 percent) involved opioids.

- The goal to reduce drug-related morbidity by 15 percent has two sub-measures, and progress had been made on one but not the other. Specifically, HIV infections attributable to drug use decreased by 29 percent from 2010 to 2015, exceeding the established target. However, the number of emergency room visits for substance use disorders increased by 19 percent from 2009 to 2011. The data source for this measure— SAMHSA’s Drug Abuse Warning Network¹⁷—indicated that pharmaceuticals alone were involved in 34 percent of these visits and illicit drugs alone were involved in 27 percent of them.¹⁸ According to the 2013 Drug Abuse Warning Network report, the increase in emergency room visits for drug misuse and abuse from 2009 to 2011 was largely driven by a 38 percent increase in visits involving illicit drugs only.

Themes from a Comptroller General Forum on Preventing Illicit Drug Use

To advance the national dialogue on preventing illicit drug use, including preventing individuals from using illicit drugs for the first time, we convened and moderated a diverse panel of health care, education, and law enforcement experts, including from ONDCP, on June 22, 2016. The panel focused on (1) common factors related to illicit drug use; (2) strategies in the education, health care, and law enforcement sectors to prevent illicit drug use; and (3) high priority areas for future action to prevent illicit drug use, and our November 2016 report¹⁹ summarized the themes from the forum.

Forum participants identified a number of common factors related to illicit drug use. For example, the participants agreed that first time illicit drug use typically starts in adolescence and typically involves marijuana, however, prescription pain relievers are increasingly a pathway to illicit drug use. Other common factors include: a family history of substance abuse, conflict within the family, and the early onset of anxiety disorders or substance use, among others.

¹⁷SAMHSA’s Drug Abuse Warning Network is a statistical sample survey of hospital emergency rooms.

¹⁸ These numbers do not include visits that involved a combination of illicit drugs, pharmaceuticals, and/or alcohol, which accounted for an estimated 35 percent of emergency room visits for substance use disorders.

¹⁹See [GAO-17-146SP](#).

Forum participants also noted several strategies available in the education, health care, and law enforcement sectors for preventing illicit drug use:

- **Education.** Forum participants championed the use of school-or community-based prevention programs that research has shown to be successful in preventing illicit drug use and other behaviors. These programs include: *Life Skills*, *Strengthening Families Program: For Parents and Youth 10-14*, and *Communities That Care*. These programs focus generally on combatting a range of risky behaviors, giving participants skills to recognize and manage their emotions, and strengthening family and community ties.
- **Health care.** Forum participants identified and discussed three principle health care strategies for preventing illicit drug use: (1) having providers adhere to the CDC’s guideline for prescribing opioids for chronic pain, (2) having providers use prescription drug monitoring programs (PDMP)—state-run electronic databases used to track the prescribing and dispensing of prescriptions for controlled substances—and (3) having primary care providers screen and intervene with patients at risk for illicit drug use.
- **Law Enforcement.** Forum participants identified four law enforcement strategies for preventing illicit drug use: (1) enforcing laws prohibiting underage consumption of alcohol and tobacco, (2) building trust between law enforcement and local communities, (3) using peers to promote drug-free lifestyles, and (4) closing prescription drug “pill mills” — medical practices that prescribe controlled substances without a legitimate medical purpose—and other efforts to reduce the supply of illicit drugs.

Forum participants also identified several high priority areas for future action to help prevent illicit drug use, including the misuse of prescription drugs. Some examples include:

- supporting community coalitions comprising the health care, education, and law enforcement sectors that work in concert to prevent illicit drug use at the local level;
- consolidating federal funding streams for multiple prevention programs into a single fund used to address the risk factors for a range of unhealthy behaviors, including illicit drug use;
- increasing the use of prevention programs that research has shown to be effective, such as those that are well-designed and deliver persuasive drug prevention messages on a regular basis;

-
- identifying and pursuing ways to change perceptions of substance abuse disorders and illicit drug use, such as emphasizing that a substance abuse disorder is a disease of the brain and can be treated like other diseases;
 - supporting drug prevention efforts in primary care settings, such as exploring ways to reimburse providers for conducting preventative drug screenings; and
 - reducing the number of prescriptions issued for opioids.

Agencies Have Strengthened Collaboration of Drug-Free Communities Support Program but Could Enhance Grantee Compliance and Performance Monitoring

In February 2017, we issued a report on the Drug-Free Communities Support Program (DFC)—a program that ONDCP and SAMHSA jointly manage. This program aims to support drug abuse prevention efforts that engage schools, law enforcement, and other sectors of a community to target reductions in the use of alcohol, tobacco, marijuana, and the illicit use of prescription drugs.²⁰ We examined the extent to which the two agencies (1) use leading processes to coordinate program administration and the types of activities funded, and (2) have operating procedures that ensure DFC grantee compliance and provide a basis for performance monitoring.

In 2008 we had previously reported that ONDCP and SAMHSA needed to establish stronger internal controls and had not fully defined each agency's roles and responsibilities for the management of the DFC program.²¹ In our February 2017 report, we found that ONDCP and SAMHSA had improved their joint management of the program. Specifically, we found that ONDCP and SAMHSA employed leading collaboration practices to administer the DFC program and fund a range of drug prevention activities. For example, ONDCP and SAMHSA had defined and agreed upon common outcomes, such as prioritizing efforts to increase participation from under-represented communities. The two agencies also had funded a range of DFC grantees' activities and report on these activities in their annual evaluation reports. For example, ONDCP reported that from February through July 2014, grantees educated more than 156,000 youth on topics related to the consequences of substance abuse. Other examples of grantees' efforts included those

²⁰See [GAO-17-120](#).

²¹See GAO, *Drug-Free Communities Support Program: Stronger Internal Controls and Other Actions Needed to Better Manage the Grant-Making Process*, [GAO-08-57](#) (Washington, D.C.: July 31, 2008).

that enhanced the skill sets of community members, including parents, to identify drug abuse or limit access to prescription drugs and those that reduced language barriers precluding non-English speakers from understanding drug prevention campaigns.

We also found that ONDCP and SAMHSA had operating procedures in place, but SAMHSA did not consistently follow documentation and reporting procedures to ensure grantees' compliance and had not accurately reported to ONDCP on grantee compliance. Based on a file review we conducted, we found that SAMHSA followed all processes for ensuring that the grant applicants whose files we reviewed had submitted required documentation before SAMHSA awarded them initial grant funding. However, SAMHSA was less consistent in adhering to procedures for confirming documentation in later years of the program. We found that the majority of grantees whose files we reviewed were missing required paperwork to document how they planned to sustain their programs after grant funds expired. Prior to our review, ONDCP and SAMHSA officials were not aware of the missing data in the grant files. We concluded that without close adherence to existing procedures, and a mechanism to ensure that the documentation it reports to ONDCP is accurate and complete, SAMHSA's performance monitoring capacity was limited. Moreover, SAMHSA could not be certain that grantees were engaging in intended activities and meeting their long-term program goals.

We made recommendations that SAMHSA develop an action plan to strengthen the agency's grant monitoring process and ensure ONDCP gets complete and accurate information, among other things. SAMHSA concurred with our recommendations and reported to us in April 2017 that it is implementing actions to address our recommendations that should be completed by this fall.

Chairman Gowdy, Ranking Member Cummings, and Committee members, this concludes my prepared statement. I would be happy to respond to any questions you may have.

GAO Contact and Staff Acknowledgements

For questions about this statement, please contact Diana Maurer at (202) 512-8777 or maurerd@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this statement. Individuals who made key contributions to this statement include Aditi Archer (Assistant Director), Joy Booth (Assistant Director), Julia Vieweg, Sylvia Bascope, Jane Eyre, Stephen Komadina, Mara McMillen, David Alexander, Billy Commons, and Eric Hauswirth. Staff who made key contributions to the reports cited in this statement are identified in the source product.

This is a work of the U.S. government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. The published product may be reproduced and distributed in its entirety without further permission from GAO. However, because this work may contain copyrighted images or other material, permission from the copyright holder may be necessary if you wish to reproduce this material separately.

GAO's Mission

The Government Accountability Office, the audit, evaluation, and investigative arm of Congress, exists to support Congress in meeting its constitutional responsibilities and to help improve the performance and accountability of the federal government for the American people. GAO examines the use of public funds; evaluates federal programs and policies; and provides analyses, recommendations, and other assistance to help Congress make informed oversight, policy, and funding decisions. GAO's commitment to good government is reflected in its core values of accountability, integrity, and reliability.

Obtaining Copies of GAO Reports and Testimony

The fastest and easiest way to obtain copies of GAO documents at no cost is through GAO's website (<http://www.gao.gov>). Each weekday afternoon, GAO posts on its website newly released reports, testimony, and correspondence. To have GAO e-mail you a list of newly posted products, go to <http://www.gao.gov> and select "E-mail Updates."

Order by Phone

The price of each GAO publication reflects GAO's actual cost of production and distribution and depends on the number of pages in the publication and whether the publication is printed in color or black and white. Pricing and ordering information is posted on GAO's website, <http://www.gao.gov/ordering.htm>.

Place orders by calling (202) 512-6000, toll free (866) 801-7077, or TDD (202) 512-2537.

Orders may be paid for using American Express, Discover Card, MasterCard, Visa, check, or money order. Call for additional information.

Connect with GAO

Connect with GAO on [Facebook](#), [Flickr](#), [LinkedIn](#), [Twitter](#), and [YouTube](#). Subscribe to our [RSS Feeds](#) or [E-mail Updates](#). Listen to our [Podcasts](#). Visit GAO on the web at www.gao.gov and read [The Watchblog](#).

To Report Fraud, Waste, and Abuse in Federal Programs

Contact:

Website: <http://www.gao.gov/fraudnet/fraudnet.htm>

E-mail: fraudnet@gao.gov

Automated answering system: (800) 424-5454 or (202) 512-7470

Congressional Relations

Katherine Siggerud, Managing Director, siggerudk@gao.gov, (202) 512-4400, U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7125, Washington, DC 20548

Public Affairs

Chuck Young, Managing Director, youngc1@gao.gov, (202) 512-4800, U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7149, Washington, DC 20548

Strategic Planning and External Liaison

James-Christian Blockwood, Managing Director, spel@gao.gov, (202) 512-4707, U.S. Government Accountability Office, 441 G Street NW, Room 7814, Washington, DC 20548



Please Print on Recycled Paper.



Diana Maurer, Director Homeland Security and Justice

Diana Maurer has been a Director in the U.S. Government Accountability Office's (GAO) Homeland Security and Justice team since 2009, where she currently leads GAO's work reviewing justice and law enforcement issues. Her recent work includes reports and testimonies on the federal prison system, the Secret Service, combating violent extremism, the FBI's use of facial recognition technology, and audio-video policies at the Supreme Court. She has testified several times before Congressional committees on national drug control policy, FBI whistleblower protection, DOJ oversight, and nuclear smuggling, among other issues.

From 2008-9, Ms. Maurer worked as an Acting Director in GAO's Natural Resource and Environment team, where she managed work assessing U.S. global nuclear detection programs and enforcement of federal environmental law. Ms. Maurer was also detailed to the House Committee on Appropriations, Surveys and Investigations staff. From 1993-2007, Ms. Maurer managed and led work in GAO's International Affairs and Trade team, where she reviewed U.S. efforts to combat international terrorism and proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, U.S. assistance to the former Soviet Union, peacekeeping in the Balkans, and several other international issues. She also served as the team's manager for staffing and human capital issues. Ms. Maurer began her GAO career in 1990 in GAO's Detroit Regional Office, where she worked on military and environmental issues.

Ms. Maurer has an M.S. in national resource strategy from the National Defense University where she was recognized as a Distinguished Graduate of the Industrial College of the Armed Forces. Ms. Maurer also has an M.P.P in international public policy from the University of Michigan and a B.A. in international relations from Michigan State University.

(Note: Last name rhymes with "tower").